

551282



"K. Brennan" <wilma27@msn.com> on 10/05/2001 01:52:17 PM

RECEIVED

OCT - 8 2001

To: YMP_SR@YMP.gov
cc:

Subject: comment re: Nuclear Waste Shipment

Part of Records Package / Supplement / Correction



- C.htm

ATTN: Carol Hanlon

RECEIVED

551282

OCT - 8 2001

Dear Carol,

Please pass my concerns to all those trying to make the best decision re: the use of the Yucca Mountain site for nuclear waste disposal.

Even before terrorists "invaded" our homeland on 9/11, I had grave concerns about the concept of shipping very dangerous material across the country, especially through populated areas. Whether shipped by rail or highway the potential is PROBABLE for an "accident;" and now that we are all more aware of the tactics of terrorists, it is easy for us to see the likelihood of targeting a shipment to destroy thousands of lives and an equal number of square miles of our country. During a "high level alert" such as our country has been in over the last few weeks, aren't nuclear reactors on "high alert" too? Why would we want to spread the danger?

The logical alternative is to keep the waste close to the reactors themselves. (Aren't the majority of the reactors east of the Mississippi?) The true question exists, however: "How can we feel we are responsibly producing energy when we have no existing plan for safe waste disposal?" We should be focusing our funds and time on exploring/developing alternative forms of energy. i.e. *SUPPORT* the bill: HR 2072 IH.

Thank you,
Kristyn Brennan
St. Louis, MO

Get more from the Web. FREE MSN Explorer download : <http://explorer.msn.com>

2